

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Arthur F Gerth,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Jonathan DeVries, et al.,

13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-17-01980-PHX-DJH (ESW)


ORDER

15
16 The Court received Plaintiff's "Inquiry" which was not filed with the Clerk of
17 Court or copied on the Defendants as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
18 The Court will strike the document. The Court notes that Plaintiff's question regarding
19 why an Order did not issue directing the Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's Motion for
20 Summary Judgment is moot. Represented parties are not entitled to Rand warnings. *See*
21 *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F. 3d 952, 962 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Defendants filed their
22 Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment on July 3, 2018.

23 The Clerk of Court shall file Plaintiff's "Inquiry" document.

24 IT IS ORDERED striking Plaintiff's "Inquiry."

25 Dated this 9th day of July, 2018.

26
27 
28 Honorable Eileen S. Willett
United States Magistrate Judge